#### **EXHIBIT 3**

# Redacted Version of Document Sought to be Sealed

#### Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 2 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

```
1
      *TRANSCRIPT CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER*
 2
 3
                IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 4
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 5
                         SAN JOSE DIVISION
 6
      PATRICK CALHOUN, et al., on )
 7
      behalf of themselves and all )
      others similarly situated,
 8
                 Plaintiff,
9
         vs.
                                     ) Case No.
                                     )5:20-cv-05146-LHK-
10
      GOOGLE, LLC,
                                     )SVK
11
                 Defendant.
12
      CHASOM BROWN, et al., on
      behalf of themselves and all )
13
      others similarly situated,
14
                 Plaintiff,
15
                                     ) Case No.
         VS.
                                     )5:20-cv-03664-LHK
16
      GOOGLE, LLC,
17
                 Defendant.
18
19
           ZOOM VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JUSTIN SCHUH
                     Mountain View, California
20
21
                     Thursday, January 6, 2022
22
                             Volume I
23
      Reported by:
      LORI M. BARKLEY, CSR No. 6426
24
      Job No. 5015859
      PAGES 1 - 174
25
                                                     Page 1
```

#### Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 3 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

```
1
                IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                         SAN JOSE DIVISION
 4
      PATRICK CALHOUN, et al., on )
 5
      behalf of themselves and all )
      others similarly situated,
 6
                 Plaintiff,
 7
                                     ) Case No.
         vs.
                                     )5:20-cv-05146-LHK-
 8
      GOOGLE, LLC,
                                     )SVK
9
                 Defendant.
10
      CHASOM BROWN, et al., on
      behalf of themselves and all )
11
      others similarly situated,
12
                 Plaintiff,
13
                                     ) Case No.
         vs.
14
                                     )5:20-cv-03664-LHK
      GOOGLE, LLC,
15
                 Defendant.
16
17
18
                Zoom Videotaped deposition of JUSTIN SCHUH,
      Volume I, taken on behalf of Plaintiffs, at Mountain
19
      View, California, beginning at 9:04 a.m., and ending
20
      at 3:25 p.m., on Thursday, January 6, 2022, before
21
22
      LORI M. BARKLEY, Certified Shorthand Reporter
23
      No. 6426.
24
25
                                                     Page 2
```

# Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 4 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR THE BROWN PLAINTIFFS:
3	SUSMAN GODFREY LLP
4	BY: ALEXANDER FRAWLEY
5	Attorney at Law
6	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
7	New York, New York 10019
8	Afrawley@susmangodfrey.com
9	- and
10	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
11	BY: BEKO REBLTIZ-RICHARDSON
12	Attorney at Law
13	1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900
14	Oakland, California 94612
15	(650) 798-3508
16	Brichardson@bsfllp.com
17	- and -
18	BY: MARK MAO
19	Attorney at Law
20	44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
21	San Francisco, California 94104
22	(415) 293-6800
2 3	Mmao@bsfllp.com
2 4	
25	
	Page 3
	Fuge 3

## Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 5 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):
2	
3	FOR THE CALHOUN PLAINTIFFS:
4	SIMMONS HANLY CONROY
5	BY: AN V. TRUONG
6	Attorney at Law
7	112 Madison Avenue, 7th Floor
8	New York, New York 10016-7416
9	(212) 784-6276
10	Atruong@simmonsfirm.com
11	- and -
12	DICELLO LEVITT & GUTZLER
13	BY: SHARON CRUZ
14	Attorney at Law
15	444 Madison Avenue, Fourth Floor
16	New York, New York 10022
17	(312) 535-9984
18	Scruz@dicellolevitt.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	
	De 4
	Page 4

## Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 6 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):
2	
3	FOR THE DEFENDANT, GOOGLE, LLC:
4	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP
5	BY: JOSEF ANSORGE
6	Attorney at Law
7	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
8	New York, New York 10010
9	(212) 849-7000
10	Josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com
11	- and -
12	BY: TRACY GAO
13	Attorney at Law
14	865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
15	Los Angeles, California 90017
16	Tracygao@quinnemanuel.com
17	
18	
19	ALSO PRESENT:
2 0	Toni Baker (In-House Counsel Google)
21	
22	Videographer:
23	Cassia Leet
2 4	
25	
	Page 5

## Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 7 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1		INDEX
2	WITNESS	EXAMINATION
3	JUSTIN SCHUH	
4	Volume I	
5		
6		BY MR. FRAWLEY 13
7		
8		
9		EXHIBITS
10	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION PAGE
11	Exhibit 1 Sl	ideshow, How do Users Interpret 25
12	Al	ternative Incognito Metaphors?,
13	da	ted August 2018, Bates stamped
14	GC	OG-BRWN-00042339 through
15	GC	OG-BRWN-00042357
16		
17	Exhibit 2 E-	mail Chain, dated August 31, 30
18	20	18, Bates stamped
19	GC	OG-BRWN-00475121 through
20	GC	OG-BRWN-00475127
21		
22	Exhibit 3 Sl	ideshow, titled Make Week, 40
23	Ва	tes stamped GOOG-CABR-04455284
24	tł	rough GOOG-BRWN-04455528
25		
		Page 6

# Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 8 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	INDEX (Cont	inued):	
2		EXHIBITS	
3	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Exhibit 4	E-mail Chain, dated June 2010,	51
5		Bates stamped GOOG-BRWN-00407535	
6		through GOOG-BRWN-00407538	
7			
8	Exhibit 5	E-mail, dated November 3, 2010,	55
9		Bates stamped GOOG-BRWN-00224407	
10			
11	Exhibit 6	Messages Chain, dated	61
12		September 11, 2019, Bates stamped	
13		GOOG-CABR-00799668 through	
14		GOOG-CABR-00799671	
15			
16	Exhibit 7	Tweet from Justin Schuh, dated	64
17		September 25, 2018, 2 pages	
18			
19	Exhibit 8	E-mail Chain, dated March 14,	72
20		2015, Bates stamped	
21		GOOG-CABR-03938947	
22			
23	Exhibit 9	Screenshot of Incognito page,	75
24		Bates stamped GOOG-CABR-0000001	
25			
		Pag	ge 7

## Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 9 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	INDEX (Cont	inued):	
2		EXHIBITS	
3	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Exhibit 10	Web page from Google Bugs page,	76
5		3 pages	
6			
7	Exhibit 11	E-mail Chain, dated September 10,	85
8		2020, Bates stamped	
9		GOOG-BRWN-00389636 through	
10		GOOG-BRWN-00389637	
11			
12	Exhibit 12	E-mail Chain, dated August 30,	97
13		2016, Bates stamped	
14		GOOG-BRWN-00397243 through	
15		GOOG-BRWN-00397245	
16			
17	Exhibit 13	E-mail Chain, dated May 3, 2019,	107
18		Bates stamped GOOG-CABR-04254084	
19		through GOOG-CABR-04254090	
20			
21	Exhibit 14	Kleber Document, Bates stamped	115
22		GOOG-BRWN-00066643 through	
23		GOOG-BRWN-00066645	
24			
25			
		Pag	e 8

## Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 10 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	INDEX (Cont	inued):	
2		EXHIBITS	
3	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Exhibit 15	Messaging Chain, dated	123
5		September 16, 2019, Bates	
6		stamped GOOG-CABR-00800570	
7			
8	Exhibit 16	Impact of Referrer Policy Change	129
9		in Firefox, dated May 09, 2019,	
10		Bates stamped GOOG-CABR-03841883	
11		through GOOG-CABR-03841885	
12			
13	Exhibit 17	Chrome Privacy Update Slideshow,	138
14		Bates stamped GOOG-BRWN-00048174	
15		through GOOG-BRWN-00048179	
16			
17	Exhibit 18	E-mail Chain, dated August 1,	145
18		2019, Bates stamped	
19		GOOG-BRWN-00230547	
20			
21	Exhibit 19	Messaging Chain, dated	149
22		September 24, 2019, Bates	
23		stamped GOOG-BRWN-00476117	
24		through GOOG-BRWN-00476135	
25			
		Pag	e 9

## Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 11 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	INDEX (Cont	inued):	
2		EXHIBITS	
3	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Exhibit 20	Tweet from Justin Schuh, dated	153
5		March 21, 2021, 1 page	
6			
7	Exhibit 21	Google Ads Document about	155
8		, Bates stamped	
9		GOOG-BRWN-00047367 through	
10		GOOG-BRWN-00047369	
11			
12	Exhibit 22	E-mail Chain, dated July 22,	159
13		2020, Bates stamped	
14		GOOG-CABR-03676620 through	
15		GOOG-CABR-03676623	
16			
17	Exhibit 23	E-mail Chain, dated June 20,	163
18		2019, Bates stamped	
19		GOOG-BRWN-00200065 through	
20		GOOG-BRWN-00200067	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
		Pa	ge 10

# Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 12 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	Mountain View, California; Thursday, January 6, 2022	
2	9:04 a.m.	
3		09:04:03
4	VIDEO OPERATOR: Good morning. We are going	09:04:09
5	on the record at 9:04 a.m., on January 6, 2022.	09:04:10
6	Please note that the microphones may pick up	09:04:17
7	background noise, conversations, and interference if	09:04:20
8	unmuted. Remember to unmute to speak.	09:04:24
9	Audio and video recording will continue to	09:04:27
10	take place unless all parties agree to go off the	09:04:30
11	record.	09:04:33
12	This is Media Unit 1 of the video recorded	09:04:34
13	deposition of Justin Schuh, taken by counsel for	09:04:38
14	Plaintiffs in the matter of Patrick Calhoun, et al.,	09:04:42
15	versus Google LLC, and in the matter of Chasom Brown,	09:04:46
16	et al, versus Google, Inc., filed in the United	09:04:51
17	States District Court, Southern District of	09:04:55
18	California, San Jose Division.	09:04:57
19	Case number 520-cv-05146-LHK-SDK, and case	09:04:59
20	number 520-cv-03664-LHK.	09:05:11
21	This deposition is being conducted using	09:05:18
22	Veritext virtual technology, and all participants are	09:05:21
23	attending remotely.	09:05:24
24	My name is Cassia Leet from Veritext Legal	09:05:27
25	Solutions and I am the videographer. The court	09:05:31
	Pa	age 11

# Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 13 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	reporter is Lori Barkley of Veritext Legal Solutions.	09:05:33
2	I am not related to any party in this	09:05:37
3	action; nor am I financially interested in the	09:05:40
4	outcome.	09:05:42
5	Would counsel and everyone attending	09:05:43
6	remotely please state your appearances and	09:05:45
7	affiliations for the record.	09:05:47
8	MR. FRAWLEY: Good morning. Alexander	09:05:51
9	Frawley for the Brown plaintiffs from Susman Godfrey.	09:05:54
10	With me are my colleague Beko Richardson and Mark	09:05:56
11	Mao, also for the Brown plaintiffs from Boies	09:06:00
12	Schiller Flexner.	
13	MS. TRUONG: An Truong, Simmons Hanly	09:06:04
14	Conroy, for Calhoun plaintiffs. Also joining me	09:06:07
15	remotely is Sharon Cruz, from Dicello Levitt, also	09:06:09
16	Calhoun plaintiffs.	09:06:12
17	MR. ANSORGE: Good morning. Josef Ansorge,	09:06:13
18	with Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan for Google,	09:06:16
19	being joined today by my colleague, Tracy Gao, also	09:06:18
20	of Quinn Emanuel. And also joined by Toni Baker, who	09:06:22
21	is counsel with Google.	09:06:25
22	VIDEO OPERATOR: Would the court reporter	09:06:31
23	please swear in the witness.	09:06:32
24		
25		
	P	age 12

# Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 14 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	JUSTIN SCHUH,			
2	having been administered an oath, was examined and			
3	testified as follows:			
4				
5	EXAMINATION			
6	BY MR. FRAWLEY:	09:06:49		
7	Q. Good morning, Mr. Schuh.	09:06:49		
8	A. Good morning.	09:06:55		
9	Q. Please state your full name.	09:06:55		
10	A. Justin Schuh.	09:07:00		
11	Q. And what is your home address?	09:07:00		
12	A. 226 Mercy Street, Mountain View, Illinois.	09:07:05		
13	MR. ANSORGE: With that, I'd like to	09:07:12		
14	designate this transcript as confidential under the	09:07:13		
15	protective order as we're getting into more personal	09:07:15		
16	information.	09:07:18		
17	BY MR. FRAWLEY:	09:07:21		
18	Q. And is that where you are today?	09:07:22		
19	A. Yes.	09:07:23		
20	Q. And are you with anyone today?	09:07:27		
21	A. No.	09:07:29		
22	Q. And how long has that been your home	09:07:29		
23	address?	09:07:33		
24	A. Ten years, I guess.	09:07:39		
25	Q. And you're currently retired from Google; is	09:07:40		
	Pa	age 13		

# Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 15 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	that cor	rect?	
2	Α.	Yes.	09:07:45
3	Q.	And when did you retire?	09:07:47
4	Α.	Early last year.	09:07:51
5	Q.	Early 2021?	09:07:54
6	Α.	Yes. February, March 2021.	09:07:57
7	Q.	And are you currently employed?	09:08:02
8	Α.	No.	09:08:06
9	Q.	And when you were working for Google, what	09:08:09
10	was your	business address?	09:08:11
11	A.	I don't remember.	09:08:17
12	Q.	Do you remember which city?	09:08:19
13	A.	Yes. Whatever Google headquarters was in	09:08:23
14	Mountain	View, California.	09:08:27
15	Q.	And was that your business address	09:08:29
16	througho	ut your career at Google?	09:08:31
17	A.	Yes.	09:08:36
18	Q.	Now, have you ever testified before?	09:08:40
19	A.	No.	09:08:42
20	Q.	And do you understand that you are under	09:08:45
21	oath tod	ay?	09:08:48
22	A.	Yes.	09:08:50
23	Q.	And is there any reason you cannot testify	09:08:51
24	truthful	ly today?	09:08:54
25	A.	No.	09:08:56
			Page 14

# Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 16 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	defaults?	14:38:21
2	A. No.	14:38:21
3	MR. FRAWLEY: Let me introduce another	14:38:37
4	exhibit.	14:38:38
5	(Exhibit 19 was marked for identification and	14:38:57
6	is attached hereto.)	14:39:12
7	MR. FRAWLEY: Okay. I've introduced what's	14:39:12
8	been marked as Exhibit 19. It is Bates number	14:39:14
9	GOOG-BRWN-00476117.	14:39:21
10	Q. Please let me know when you have Exhibit 19	14:39:29
11	in front of you.	14:39:32
12	A. I have it in front of me, but it's a very	14:40:07
13	long chat log.	14:40:09
14	Q. So I'm only going to ask you about the first	14:40:10
15	page.	14:40:13
16	A. All right. Let me skim quickly.	14:40:13
17	Okay. I have skimmed it really quickly.	14:40:49
18	Q. Do you see where CS Harrison wrote	14:40:52
19	(as read):	
20	I am a bit confused since the new	14:40:56
21	proposal for is and	14:40:59
22	Incognito detector.	14:41:02
23	And then I think CS Harrison then corrected	14:41:03
24	it and says (as read):	14:41:06
25	I am a bit confused since the new	14:41:07
	Pag	je 149

# Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 17 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	proposal for is an	14:41:13
2	Incognito detector.	14:41:15
3	Do you see that?	14:41:16
4	A. Yes.	14:41:17
5	Q. Then you said (as read):	14:41:17
6	Yeah, that's a bit of a mess to	14:41:18
7	let's not focus on today, but	14:41:20
8	instead think of a world where	14:41:22
9	Incognito is otherwise undetectable.	14:41:24
10	Do you see that?	14:41:27
11	A. Yes.	14:41:27
12	Q. Now, why was the new proposal for	14:41:28
13	a bit of a mess?	14:41:33
14	MR. ANSORGE: Objection, vague. And form.	14:41:34
15	THE WITNESS: I I don't think I meant	14:41:43
16	you see, you're asking me what I meant in that	14:41:44
17	moment, but it's kind of hard.	14:41:46
18	My my response about think of a world	14:41:51
19	where Incognito is not detectable, my point here is	14:41:54
20	that was that there's going to be intermediate	14:41:59
21	stages where it's not where detectability of	14:42:06
22	Incognito mode could go back and forth depending on	14:42:11
23	code changes, right.	14:42:13
24	But the intended end state would	14:42:14
25	eliminate that's not an issue and I don't know	14:42:21
	Pa	ge 150

## Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 18 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	what specific proposal Charlie was referring to at	14:42:23
2	the time, but it's more I was telling Charlie,	14:42:27
3	don't focus on the intermediate states, let's	14:42:30
4	let's think in terms of the end state end state	14:42:34
5	and the constraints we'll have in the end state.	14:42:38
6	BY MR. FRAWLEY:	14:42:44
7	Q. And if you recall, how would a proposal for	14:42:44
8	have been an Incognito detector?	14:42:47
9	MR. ANSORGE: Objection, vague. Foundation.	14:42:51
10	THE WITNESS: I so I think they	14:43:06
11	targeted a very poor choice of words there because I	14:43:08
12	think Incognito, I think they might imply this on	14:43:12
13	purpose. What Charlie from the context of the	14:43:14
14	conversation is very clear to me or it's certainly	14:43:16
15	the way I would interpret it, is that Charlie is	14:43:20
16	saying oh, but they're looking at making this change	14:43:23
17	to Incognito and it might make Incognito more	14:43:25
18	detectable at I don't know what that change would	14:43:27
19	be.	14:43:33
20	I'm just saying this is it's a complex	14:43:34
21	system. You can make a change in one spot where	14:43:36
22	you're like, hey, we've made this more private, this	14:43:39
23	is better, but it has some side effect properties	14:43:41
24	that you that you hadn't factored that pop out	14:43:44
25	elsewhere.	14:43:47
	Pag	ge 151

# Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 19 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

refers to or at least whenever I've heard the term 14:44:27  used and whenever I've used the term, I believe it 14:44:29  has always referred to the site that you are visiting 14:44:32  in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact, 14:44:35  in Incognito mode. 14:44:39  BY MR. FRAWLEY: 14:44:44  Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:44  in Incognito mode? 14:44:47  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:59  unintentional information leakage. 14:44:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19			
BY MR. FRAWLEY:  Q. And how might have made 14:43:52  Incognito more detectable, specifically? 14:43:55  A. I don't I don't know. I don't recall. 14:44:00  Q. And when you're talking about Incognito 14:44:07  MR. ANSORGE: Objection, vague. And form. 14:44:13  THE WITNESS: Incognito detection always 14:44:21  refers to or at least whenever I've heard the term 14:44:27  used and whenever I've used the term, I believe it 14:44:29  has always referred to the site that you are visiting 14:44:32  in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact, 14:44:35  in Incognito mode. 14:44:44  Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:44  in Incognito mode? 14:44:47  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:59  Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	1	So that's that is the context of this	14:43:47
Q. And how might specifically? 14:43:52  Incognito more detectable, specifically? 14:43:55  A. I don't I don't know. I don't recall. 14:44:00  Q. And when you're talking about Incognito 14:44:04  being detectable, detectable by whom? 14:44:07  MR. ANSORGE: Objection, vague. And form. 14:44:13  THE WITNESS: Incognito detection always 14:44:21  refers to or at least whenever I've heard the term 14:44:27  used and whenever I've used the term, I believe it 14:44:29  has always referred to the site that you are visiting 14:44:32  in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact, 14:44:39  in Incognito mode. 14:44:39  BY MR. FRAWLEY: 14:44:44  Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:47  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:59  Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	2	discussion.	14:43:50
Incognito more detectable, specifically? 14:43:55  A. I don't I don't know. I don't recall. 14:44:00  Q. And when you're talking about Incognito 14:44:07  MR. ANSORGE: Objection, vague. And form. 14:44:13  THE WITNESS: Incognito detection always 14:44:21  refers to or at least whenever I've heard the term 14:44:27  used and whenever I've used the term, I believe it 14:44:29  has always referred to the site that you are visiting 14:44:32  in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact, 14:44:39  in Incognito mode. 14:44:49  BY MR. FRAWLEY: 14:44:44  Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:44  in Incognito mode? 14:44:47  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:55  unintentional information leakage. 14:44:59  Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19	3	BY MR. FRAWLEY:	14:43:52
A. I don't I don't know. I don't recall. 14:44:00 Q. And when you're talking about Incognito 14:44:04 being detectable, detectable by whom? 14:44:07 MR. ANSORGE: Objection, vague. And form. 14:44:13 THE WITNESS: Incognito detection always 14:44:21 refers to or at least whenever I've heard the term 14:44:27 used and whenever I've used the term, I believe it 14:44:29 has always referred to the site that you are visiting 14:44:32 in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact, 14:44:35 in Incognito mode. 14:44:39 BY MR. FRAWLEY: 14:44:44 Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:44 in Incognito mode? 14:44:47 A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53 whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:55 unintentional information leakage. 14:44:59 Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07 A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09 Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19 that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	4	Q. And how might have made	14:43:52
Q. And when you're talking about Incognito 14:44:04 being detectable, detectable by whom? 14:44:07  MR. ANSORGE: Objection, vague. And form. 14:44:13 THE WITNESS: Incognito detection always 14:44:21 refers to or at least whenever I've heard the term 14:44:27 used and whenever I've used the term, I believe it 14:44:29 has always referred to the site that you are visiting 14:44:32 in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact, 14:44:35 in Incognito mode. 14:44:39 BY MR. FRAWLEY: 14:44:44 Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:44 in Incognito mode? 14:44:47 A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:55 unintentional information leakage. 14:44:59 Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07 A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:19 that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	5	Incognito more detectable, specifically?	14:43:55
being detectable, detectable by whom?  MR. ANSORGE: Objection, vague. And form. 14:44:13  THE WITNESS: Incognito detection always 14:44:21  refers to or at least whenever I've heard the term 14:44:27  used and whenever I've used the term, I believe it 14:44:29  has always referred to the site that you are visiting 14:44:32  in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact, 14:44:35  in Incognito mode. 14:44:39  BY MR. FRAWLEY: 14:44:44  Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:47  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:59  Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	6	A. I don't I don't know. I don't recall.	14:44:00
MR. ANSORGE: Objection, vague. And form. 14:44:13  THE WITNESS: Incognito detection always 14:44:21  refers to or at least whenever I've heard the term 14:44:27  used and whenever I've used the term, I believe it 14:44:29  has always referred to the site that you are visiting 14:44:32  in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact, 14:44:35  in Incognito mode. 14:44:39  BY MR. FRAWLEY: 14:44:44  Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:44  in Incognito mode? 14:44:47  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:59  unintentional information leakage. 14:45:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	7	Q. And when you're talking about Incognito	14:44:04
THE WITNESS: Incognito detection always 14:44:21  refers to or at least whenever I've heard the term 14:44:27  used and whenever I've used the term, I believe it 14:44:29  has always referred to the site that you are visiting 14:44:32  in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact, 14:44:35  in Incognito mode. 14:44:39  BY MR. FRAWLEY: 14:44:44  Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:44  in Incognito mode? 14:44:47  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:55  unintentional information leakage. 14:45:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	8	being detectable, detectable by whom?	14:44:07
refers to or at least whenever I've heard the term 14:44:27  used and whenever I've used the term, I believe it 14:44:29  has always referred to the site that you are visiting 14:44:32  in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact, 14:44:35  in Incognito mode. 14:44:39  BY MR. FRAWLEY: 14:44:44  Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:44  in Incognito mode? 14:44:47  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:55  unintentional information leakage. 14:45:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	9	MR. ANSORGE: Objection, vague. And form.	14:44:13
used and whenever I've used the term, I believe it  14:44:29  has always referred to the site that you are visiting in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact,  in Incognito mode.  14:44:39  BY MR. FRAWLEY:  14:44:44  Q. And how might a website detect that you are in Incognito mode?  14:44:47  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:59  Q. Any other ways?  A. I I don't believe so.  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito  14:45:22	10	THE WITNESS: Incognito detection always	14:44:21
has always referred to the site that you are visiting 14:44:32 in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact, 14:44:35 in Incognito mode. 14:44:39 BY MR. FRAWLEY: 14:44:44  Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:44 in Incognito mode? 14:44:47  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53 whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:55 unintentional information leakage. 14:44:59 Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07 A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	11	refers to or at least whenever I've heard the term	14:44:27
in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact, 14:44:35 in Incognito mode. 14:44:39  BY MR. FRAWLEY: 14:44:44  Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:44  in Incognito mode? 14:44:47  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:55  unintentional information leakage. 14:44:59  Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	12	used and whenever I've used the term, I believe it	14:44:29
in Incognito mode.  BY MR. FRAWLEY:  Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:44  in Incognito mode?  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:55  unintentional information leakage.  Q. Any other ways?  A. I I don't believe so.  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	13	has always referred to the site that you are visiting	14:44:32
BY MR. FRAWLEY:  Q. And how might a website detect that you are  14:44:44  in Incognito mode?  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or  14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of  14:44:55  unintentional information leakage.  Q. Any other ways?  A. I I don't believe so.  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with  14:45:19  that might have made Incognito  14:45:22	14	in Incognito mode, detecting that you are, in fact,	14:44:35
Q. And how might a website detect that you are 14:44:44 in Incognito mode? 14:44:47  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53 whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:55 unintentional information leakage. 14:44:59 Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07 A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09 Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19 that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	15	in Incognito mode.	14:44:39
in Incognito mode?  A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:55  unintentional information leakage.  Q. Any other ways?  A. I I don't believe so.  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	16	BY MR. FRAWLEY:	14:44:44
A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or 14:44:53  whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:55  unintentional information leakage. 14:44:59  Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	17	Q. And how might a website detect that you are	14:44:44
whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of 14:44:55 unintentional information leakage. 14:44:59  Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	18	in Incognito mode?	14:44:47
unintentional information leakage. 14:44:59  Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	19	A. A bug in a browser implementing Incognito or	14:44:53
Q. Any other ways? 14:45:07  A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	20	whatever private browsing mode. It's some sort of	14:44:55
A. I I don't believe so. 14:45:09  Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19  that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	21	unintentional information leakage.	14:44:59
Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with 14:45:19 that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	22	Q. Any other ways?	14:45:07
25 that might have made Incognito 14:45:22	23	A. I I don't believe so.	14:45:09
	24	Q. So is there some kind of bug associated with	14:45:19
Page 152	25	that might have made Incognito	14:45:22
i de la companya de		Pag	ge 152

## Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 827-5 Filed 01/11/23 Page 20 of 20 CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	detectable?	14:45:25
2	A. No. This gets back to what I was saying	14:45:33
3	earlier about these are complex systems, and	14:45:35
4	sometimes you make a change in one part and it	14:45:38
5	introduces a bug in another part.	14:45:41
6	And sometimes those are those can be	14:45:45
7	unavoidable. I think so when you yeah.	14:45:50
8	That's that's just it's just it is the	14:46:02
9	reality of the of building a complex system.	14:46:05
10	MR. FRAWLEY: Okay. I'm going to introduce	14:46:21
11	another exhibit.	14:46:22
12	Okay. I've introduced what's been marked as	14:46:57
13	Exhibit 20.	14:47:00
14	(Exhibit 20 was marked for identification and	14:47:00
15	is attached hereto.)	
16	BY MR. FRAWLEY:	14:47:01
17	Q. Please let me know when you have Exhibit 20	14:47:01
18	in front of you.	14:47:03
19	A. I have it in front of me.	14:47:46
20	Q. Is this a tweet that you tweeted?	14:47:47
21	A. Yes.	14:47:54
22	Q. And do you see where you tweeted (as read):	14:47:54
23	Given that IP is such a strong	14:47:55
24	key for linking identity across not	14:47:57
25	just sites, but apps as well	14:47:59
	Pag	re 153
ı		